

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/675,141	KISHAN ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Christina Johnson	1725	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christina Johnson.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter Hargerty.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5, 17, 42

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 17 and 42 were amended to correct minor informalities and claim 5 was cancelled, placing the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..